

Concepts in Abstract Mathematics

EULER'S THEOREM



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO

March 2nd, 2021

Definition: Euler's totient function

We define *Euler's totient function* as $\varphi : \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ defined by

$$\varphi(n) := \# \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \gcd(k, n) = 1\}$$

Euler's totient function – 2

Proposition

$$\forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, \gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1 \implies \varphi(n_1 n_2) = \varphi(n_1) \varphi(n_2)$$

Proof. If $n_1 = 1$ or $n_2 = 1$ then there is nothing to prove. So let's assume that $n_1, n_2 \geq 2$.

Set $S_i = \{r \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq r \leq n_i \text{ and } \gcd(r, n_i) = 1\}$ and $T = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq n_1 n_2 \text{ and } \gcd(k, n_1 n_2) = 1\}$.

For $k \in T$, write the Euclidean divisions $k = n_1 q_1 + r_1$ with $0 \leq r_1 < n_1$ and $k = n_2 q_2 + r_2$ where $0 \leq r_2 < n_2$.

Let's prove that $r_i \in S_i$:

- Assume that $r_i = 0$ then $n_i | k$ and $n_i | n_1 n_2$ so that $n_i | \gcd(k, n_1 n_2) = 1$: contradiction. So $1 \leq r_i < n_i$.
- $\gcd(r_i, n_i) = \gcd(k - n_i q_i, n_i) = \gcd(k, n_i) | \gcd(k, n_1 n_2) = 1$, hence $\gcd(r_i, n_i) = 1$.

Therefore we can define $f : T \rightarrow S_1 \times S_2$ by $f(k) = (r_1, r_2)$. Let's prove that f is a bijection.

Let $(r_1, r_2) \in S_1 \times S_2$.

By the Chinese remainder theorem, $\exists! k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n_1 n_2\}$ such that $k \equiv r_1 \pmod{n_1}$ and $k \equiv r_2 \pmod{n_2}$.

Note that $\gcd(k, n_1) = \gcd(r_1 + l n_1, n_1) = \gcd(r_1, n_1) = 1$ (for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Similarly $\gcd(k, n_2) = \gcd(r_2, n_2) = 1$.

Thus $\gcd(k, n_1 n_2) = 1$ (see Ex 3 of PS2), so that $k \in T$.

We proved that $\forall (r_1, r_2) \in S_1 \times S_2, \exists! k \in T, (r_1, r_2) = f(k)$, i.e. that f is bijective.

Therefore, $\#T = \#(S_1 \times S_2) = \#S_1 \#S_2$, i.e. $\varphi(n_1 n_2) = \varphi(n_1) \varphi(n_2)$.

Euler's totient function – 3

Proposition

Let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be pairwise distinct prime numbers and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(p_i^{\alpha_i} - p_i^{\alpha_i-1}\right)$$

Proof.

- *First case:* let p be a prime number and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.
Then $\gcd(p^\alpha, m) > 1$ if and only if $p|m$.
Hence $\varphi(p^\alpha) = \#(\{1, 2, \dots, p^\alpha\} \setminus \{1 \times p, 2 \times p, \dots, p^{\alpha-1} \times p\}) = p^\alpha - p^{\alpha-1}$.
- *General case:* using the previous proposition and the first case, we get that

$$\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^r \varphi(p_i^{\alpha_i}) = \prod_{i=1}^r \left(p_i^{\alpha_i} - p_i^{\alpha_i-1}\right)$$

$$\text{If } n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i} \text{ then } \varphi(n) = n \prod_{i=1}^r \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).$$

Euler's theorem

Euler's theorem

Let $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then

$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$

Proof. Write $S = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq n \text{ and } \gcd(k, n) = 1\} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\varphi(n)}\}$.

(i) **Fact.** Given $k_i \in S$, there exists $k_j \in S$ such that $ak_i \equiv k_j \pmod{n}$.

Let $k_i \in S$ then $\gcd(ak_i, n) = 1$ by Exercise 3 of Problem Set 2. Thus $ak_i \equiv k_j \pmod{n}$ for some $k_j \in S$.

(ii) **Fact.** $\forall k_i, k_j \in S, ak_i \equiv ak_j \pmod{n} \implies k_i = k_j$.

Indeed, then $n | a(k_i - k_j)$ and hence $n | k_i - k_j$ by Gauss' lemma. Thus $k_i \equiv k_j \pmod{n}$.

Finally, $k_i = k_j$ since $1 \leq k_i, k_j \leq n$.

For $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, \varphi(n)\}$, there exists a unique $l_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $l_i \equiv ak_i \pmod{n}$. Then, $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{\varphi(n)}\} = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\varphi(n)}\}$.
Indeed, by (i), $\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{\varphi(n)}\} \subset \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\varphi(n)}\}$. And by (ii), $\#\{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{\varphi(n)}\} = \#\{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{\varphi(n)}\}$.

Hence $\prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} k_i = \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} l_i \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} ak_i \pmod{n} \equiv a^{\varphi(n)} \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} k_i \pmod{n}$. Therefore $n | (a^{\varphi(n)} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} k_i$.

Since $\gcd\left(n, \prod_{i=1}^{\varphi(n)} k_i\right) = 1$ by Ex 3 of PS2, Gauss' lemma gives $n | a^{\varphi(n)} - 1$, i.e. $a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. ■

Remark

Fermat's little theorem is a special case of Euler's theorem: if p is prime then $\varphi(p) = p - 1$.