

The irregularity of the direct image of some \mathcal{D} -modules

By

Céline ROUCAIROL *

Abstract

Let f and g be two regular functions on U smooth affine variety. Let \mathcal{M} be a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_U -module. We are interested in the irregularity of the complex $f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)$. More precisely, we relate the irregularity number at c of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)$ with the characteristic cycles of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k(f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})$.

§1. Introduction

• Let U be a smooth affine variety over \mathbb{C} and $g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a regular function on U . We denote by \mathcal{O}_U the sheaf of regular functions on U and by \mathcal{D}_U the sheaf of algebraic differential operators on U .

Let \mathcal{M} be a regular holonomic \mathcal{D}_U -module. We denote by $\mathcal{M}e^g$ the \mathcal{D}_U -module obtained from \mathcal{M} by twisting by e^g . If ∇ is the connection defined by the \mathcal{D}_U -module structure of \mathcal{M} , $\nabla + dg$ is the one associated with $\mathcal{M}e^g$. Although \mathcal{M} is regular, $\mathcal{M}e^g$ is not regular in general. Here, regular means that there exists a smooth compactification X of U and an extension of $\mathcal{M}e^g$ as \mathcal{D}_X -module which is regular holonomic on X . In [10], C. Sabbah describes a comparison theorem for these \mathcal{D} -modules twisted by an exponential. This theorem gives a relation between the irregularity complex of $\mathcal{M}e^g$ (see [6]) and some topological data given by g and \mathcal{M} .

In this paper, we consider two regular functions $f, g : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We are interested in the irregularity of the cohomology modules of the direct image by f of a \mathcal{D}_U -module, $\mathcal{M}e^g$, where \mathcal{M} is regular and holonomic.

Communicated by M. Kashiwara. Received June 28, 2005. Revised September 27, 2005.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification(s): 32C38, 35B40

*Universität Mannheim, Institut für Mathematik, A5, 6, 68131 Mannheim, Germany
celine.roucairol@uni-mannheim.de

- In section 2, we recall the definitions of a \mathcal{D} -module twisted by an exponential of a meromorphic function. We will need the definition in the case of meromorphic function during the proof of the main theorem.

Then, we will consider the case where \mathcal{M} is the sheaf of regular function \mathcal{O}_U . According to [4], the system $\mathcal{H}^k(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))$ extends vector bundle with flat holomorphic connection such that the generic fiber of the sheaf of their horizontal sections is canonically isomorphic to the cohomology group $H_{\phi_t}^{k+n-1}(f^{-1}(t)^{an}, \mathbb{C})$, where ϕ_t is the family of closed subsets of $f^{-1}(t)$ on which e^{-g} is rapidly decreasing. Using this result, we will motivate the study of the irregularity of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g)$ by observations on some integrals.

- The main theorem of this paper gives us a formula for the irregularity number of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k(f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g))$ at finite distance and at infinity.

In the case where f and g are two polynomials in two variables which are algebraically independents and $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$, the complex $f_+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2} e^g)$ is concentrated in degree 0 except at a finite number of points (see [9]). Then, the irregularity number at a point $c \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the system $\mathcal{H}^0 f_+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2} e^g)$ can be expressed in terms of some geometric data associated with f and g (see [9]).

In this paper, we calculate the irregularity number at $c \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k(f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g))$ with the help of the characteristic cycle of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k(f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})$, in the general case where f and g are any regular functions.

In the following, we identify $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ with \mathbb{P}^1 . Let i be the inclusion of \mathbb{C}^2 in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. Let $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $V = V_1 \times V_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ a neighbourhood of (c, ∞) .

Let $Cch(c, k)$ be the characteristic cycle of $\mathcal{H}^k i_+(f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})$ in the neighbourhood V :

$$Cch(c, k) = mT_V^*V + m'T_{(c, \infty)}^*V + m''T_{\{c\} \times V_2}^*V + m'''T_{V_1 \times \{\infty\}}^*V + \sum m_l T_{Z_l}^*V,$$

where Z_l are some germs of irreducible curves in a neighbourhood of (c, ∞) distinct from $V_1 \times \{\infty\}$ and $\{c\} \times V_2$.

Theorem 1.1. *The irregularity number of $\mathcal{H}^k f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)$ at c is equal to $\sum_l m_l I_{(c, \infty)}(Z_l, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\})$, where $I_{(c, \infty)}(Z_l, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\})$ is the intersection multiplicity of Z_l and $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$ at (c, ∞) .*

- The theorem of commutation between the irregularity functor and the direct image functor ([6]) allows us to rephrasing theorem 1.1 in terms of an irregularity complex of a regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -module twisted by an exponential (cf. lemma 3.2).

Then, using the comparison theorem of [10], we are led to calculate the Euler characteristic of a germ of a complex of nearby cycles.

§2. The complex $f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)$

§2.1. Regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -modules twisted by an exponential

Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} .

We identify \mathbb{P}^1 with $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$. Let $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a meromorphic function.

Definition 2.1. We define the \mathcal{D}_X -module $\mathcal{O}_X[*h^{-1}(\infty)]e^h$ as a \mathcal{D}_X -module which is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_X[*h^{-1}(\infty)]$ as \mathcal{O}_X -module. The original connection ∇ on $\mathcal{O}_X[*h^{-1}(\infty)]$ is replaced with the connection $\nabla + dh$ on $\mathcal{O}_X[*h^{-1}(\infty)]e^h$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module.

Definition 2.2. We define the \mathcal{D}_X -module $\mathcal{M}[*h^{-1}(\infty)]e^h$ as the \mathcal{D}_X -module $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{O}_X[*h^{-1}(\infty)]e^h$.

Remark. $\mathcal{O}_X[*h^{-1}(\infty)]e^h$ is the direct image by an open immersion of a vector bundle with integrable connection. Then, it is a holonomic \mathcal{D}_X -module as algebraic direct image of a holonomic \mathcal{D} -module.

$\mathcal{M}[*h^{-1}(\infty)]e^h$ is a holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -module as tensor product of two holonomic left \mathcal{D}_X -modules (cf. theorem 4.6 of [2]).

We have analogous definitions in the analytic case. We just have to transpose in the analytic setting.

§2.2. On the solutions of the systems $\mathcal{H}^k(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))$

The generic fiber of the sheaf of horizontal sections of $\mathcal{H}^k(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))$ can be describe as follows:

Theorem 2.1 ([4]). *There exists a finite subset $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that*

- $\mathcal{H}^k(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma}$ is a vector bundle with flat holomorphic connection.
- For all $t \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma$, $i_t^+ \mathcal{H}^{k-n+1}(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g)) \simeq H_{\phi_t}^k((f^{-1}(t))^{an}, \mathbb{C})$, where i_t is the inclusion of $\{t\}$ in \mathbb{C} and ϕ_t is the family of closed subsets of $f^{-1}(t)$ on which e^{-g} is rapidly decreasing.

More precisely, the family ϕ_t is defined as follow. Let $\pi : \widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the oriented real blow-up of \mathbb{P}^1 at infinity. $\widetilde{\mathbb{P}}^1$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{C} \cup S^1$, where S^1 is the circle of directions at infinity. A is in ϕ_t if A is a closed subset of $f^{-1}(t)$ and the closure of $g(A)$ in $\mathbb{C} \cup S^1$ intersects S^1 in $] -\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}[$.

Let us motivate the study of this complex by observations on some integrals. Concerning Gauss-Manin systems, we can express their solutions as period integrals of the type $\int_{\gamma(t)} w|_{f^{-1}(t)}$, where $\gamma(t)$ is an horizontal family of cycles in the fibres $f^{-1}(t)$ and w is a relative algebraic differential form. As the Gauss-Manin connection is regular, these integrals have moderate growth in the neighbourhood of their singularities. In our case, some solutions can also be expressed as integrals.

Let Ψ_t be the family of closed subsets A of $f^{-1}(t)$ such that for all R big enough, $A \setminus g^{-1}(\{t \in \mathbb{C} \mid Re(-t) > R\})$ is compact. We consider the complex of semi-algebraic chains with support in Ψ_t (see [8]). We denote by $H_{k, \Psi_t}(f^{-1}(t)^{an}, \mathbb{C})$ the k -th homology group associated with this complex. We can now integrate forms in $H_{\Phi_t}^k(f^{-1}(t)^{an}, \mathbb{C})$ on cycles in $H_{k, \Psi_t}(f^{-1}(t)^{an}, \mathbb{C})$.

According to theorem 1.4 of [1], since f is a submersion outside Σ , we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{H}^{k-n+1}(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma} \simeq R^k f_*(DR_{\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_U) e^g)|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma}.$$

Thus, we can extend the integration defined before to a form $w e|_{f^{-1}(t)}^g$, where w is a relative algebraic differential form. Indeed, by the definition of Ψ_t , e^g is rapidly decreasing on the cycles and semi-algebraic chains with support in Ψ_t behave well at infinity.

In this way, to $\gamma(t)$, horizontal family of cycles in $H_{k, \Psi_t}(f^{-1}(t)^{an}, \mathbb{C})$, we can associate a solution of the $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma}$ -module $\mathcal{H}^{k-n+1}(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))|_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma}$. It is a morphism α of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma}$ -modules defined by $\alpha([w e^g]) = \int_{\gamma(t)} w e|_{f^{-1}(t)}^g$.

The study of the irregularity of the systems $\mathcal{H}^{k-n+1}(f_+(\mathcal{O}_U e^g))$ gives us informations about the growth of these integrals in the neighbourhood of their singularities.

§3. On the irregularity of the complex $f_+(\mathcal{M} e^g)$

In the following, we will identify $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ with \mathbb{P}^1 and we consider the canonical immersion $j : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Let us fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$.

We are interested in the number $IR_{c,k}$, it being the irregularity number at $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$ of the system $\mathcal{H}^k j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M} e^g)$.

The first step of the proof of theorem 1.1 consists in rephrasing it using an irregularity complex of a $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -module twisted by an exponential.

For the definition of irregularity complex along an hypersurface, we refer the reader to [6] and [7]. We adopt the following notations. If \mathfrak{M} is a complex of \mathcal{D}_X -modules and Z is an hypersurface of X , we denote by $IR_Z(\mathfrak{M})$ the irregu-

larity complex of \mathfrak{M} along Z . For simplicity of notations, we write $IR_Z^k(\mathfrak{M})$ instead of $\mathcal{H}^k(IR_Z(\mathfrak{M}))$.

According to [5], the irregularity number $IR_{c,k}$ is equal to the dimension of the \mathbb{C} -vector space $IR^0(\mathcal{H}^k j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g))_c$.

Denote by \mathcal{N}^\bullet the complex of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1}$ -modules $i_+(f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})$. In the way of rephrasing theorem 1.1, we need the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\pi_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the second projection and $D = (\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1) \setminus i(\mathbb{C}^2)$.*

$$IR_c(\mathcal{H}^k j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g))_c = IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{N}^\bullet)[*D]e^{\pi_2})_{(c, \infty)}[+1].$$

Proof. • Reduction to the case of the two projections.

Let $p_1 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $p_2 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the two canonical projections.

As $f = p_1 \circ (f, g)$, we have $f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g) = p_{1+}(f, g)_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)$. Moreover, $(f, g)_+(\mathcal{M}e^g) = (f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})e^{p_2}$.

Finally, we obtain that $f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g) \simeq p_{1+}((f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})e^{p_2})$.

• In this paragraph, we denote by ${}^p\mathcal{H}$ the perverse cohomology. According to corollary 2-1-8 of [6], we have:

$$IR_c(\mathcal{H}^k j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)) = {}^p\mathcal{H}^k IR_c(j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g))$$

Consider the following diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \\ \downarrow p_1 & \downarrow \pi_1 & \\ \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^2 \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 & \\ \downarrow p_2 & \downarrow \pi_2 & \\ \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{j} & \mathbb{P}^1 & \end{array} .$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} IR_c(j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)) &= IR_c(j_+ p_{1+}((f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})e^{p_2})) \\ &= IR_c(\pi_{1+} i_+((f, g)_+(\mathcal{M})e^{p_2})) \\ &= IR_c(\pi_{1+} i_+(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2})). \end{aligned}$$

Then, $IR_c(\mathcal{H}^k j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g)) = {}^p\mathcal{H}^k IR_c(\pi_{1+} i_+(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2}))$.

• According to proposition 3-6-4 of [7], the irregularity functor commutes with the direct image functor. Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} IR_c(\pi_{1+} i_+(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2}))_c &= \mathbb{R}\pi_{1*} IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2})_c[+1] \\ &= \mathbb{R}\Gamma(\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1, IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2}))[+1]. \end{aligned}$$

• Then, we remark that π_2 is holomorphic out of (c, ∞) and \mathcal{N}^\bullet is regular holonomic (direct image complex of an algebraic regular holonomic \mathcal{D} -module).

Then, $IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2})$ has its support in (c, ∞) and we have an isomorphism of complexes of vector spaces

$$IR_c(\pi_{1+}i_+(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2}))_c = IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2})_{(c, \infty)}[+1].$$

- We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} IR_c(\mathcal{H}^k j_+ f_+(\mathcal{M}e^g))_c &= {}^p\mathcal{H}^k IR_c(\pi_{1+}i_+(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2}))_c \\ &= {}^p\mathcal{H}^k IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2})_{(c, \infty)}[+1] \\ &= IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{N}^\bullet[*D]e^{\pi_2}))_{(c, \infty)}[+1] \\ &= IR_{\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{H}^k(\mathcal{N}^\bullet)[*D]e^{\pi_2})_{(c, \infty)}[+1]. \end{aligned}$$

□

Now, we can rephrase theorem 1.1.

Let us choose some local coordinates (x, z) of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ in a neighbourhood of (c, ∞) such that:

- (c, ∞) has for coordinates $(0, 0)$,
- $\{c\} \times \mathbb{P}^1$ has equation $x = 0$ in a neighbourhood of (c, ∞) ,
- $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}$ has equation $z = 0$ in a neighbourhood of (c, ∞) .

In these coordinates, π_2 is equal to $\frac{1}{z}$ in a neighbourhood of (c, ∞) . Then, according to lemma 3.1, we are led to prove the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. *Let \mathfrak{M} be a holonomic regular $\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ -module. We denote the characteristic cycle of \mathfrak{M} in a neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ by:*

$$Cch(\mathfrak{M}) = mT_{\mathbb{C}^2}^*\mathbb{C}^2 + m'T_{(c, \infty)}^*\mathbb{C}^2 + m''T_{x=0}^*\mathbb{C}^2 + m'''T_{z=0}^*\mathbb{C}^2 + \sum m_l T_{Z_l}^*\mathbb{C}^2,$$

where Z_l are some germs of irreducible curves in a neighbourhood of $(0, 0)$ distinct from $x = 0$ and $z = 0$.

Then,

$$\chi(IR_{x=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{z}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})_{(0,0)}) = -\sum_l m_l I_{(c, \infty)}(Z_l, \{z = 0\}).$$

§4. Proof of lemma 3.2

We break up the proof of lemma 3.2 in three steps:

Lemma 4.1. $\chi(IR_{x=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{z}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})_{(0,0)}) = \chi(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})_{(0,0)})$.

We denote by $\Psi_z(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])$ the complex of nearby cycles of $\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]$ relative to z . It is a complex of constructible sheaves on $\mathbb{C} \times \{0\}$ defined as follows.

Let η small enough. We denote by $\widetilde{D^*(0, \eta)}$ the universal covering of $D^*(0, \eta)$. Let (E, π, \tilde{z}) be the fiber product over $D^*(0, \eta)$ of $\mathbb{C} \times D^*(0, \eta)$ and $\widetilde{D^*(0, \eta)}$. Then, we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{C} \times \{0\} & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{C}^2 & \xleftarrow{\tilde{i}} & \mathbb{C} \times D^*(0, \eta) & \xleftarrow{\pi} & E & . \\ & & & & \downarrow z & & \downarrow & \\ & & & & D^*(0, \eta) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \widetilde{D^*(0, \eta)} & \end{array}$$

$$\Psi_z(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]) = \alpha^{-1} R(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)_*(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]))$$

Lemma 4.2. $\chi(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})_{(0,0)}) = \chi(\Psi_z(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])_{(0,0)})$.

Lemma 4.3. $\chi(\Psi_z(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])_{(0,0)}) = -\sum_l m_l I_{(c, \infty)}(Z_l, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\})$.

Proof of lemma 4.1. Let us first show that

$$IR_{x=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{z}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}) = R\Gamma_{x=0}(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})).$$

Let η be the inclusion of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ in \mathbb{C}^2 . By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}) &= \text{cone} \left(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}) \rightarrow R\eta_* \eta^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})) \right) \\ &= \text{cone} \left(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}) \rightarrow R\eta_*(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])|_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*}) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{\eta} & \mathbb{C}^2 & . \\ \uparrow J' & & \uparrow J & \\ \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & \mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C} & \end{array}$$

As \mathfrak{M} is regular, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} R\eta_*(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])|_{\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^*}) &= R\eta_* R J'_*(DR(\mathfrak{M})|_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}) \\ &= R J_* R \eta'_*(DR(\mathfrak{M})|_{\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*}). \end{aligned}$$

But $R\Gamma_{x=0}RJ_* = 0$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma_{x=0}(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})) &= R\Gamma_{x=0}(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})) \\ &= IR_{x=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{z}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}), \end{aligned}$$

by definition of irregularity complex.

Then, we are led to prove that the complexes $R\Gamma_{x=0}(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}))$ and $IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})$ have the same characteristic function at $(0, 0)$.

Using the following distinguished triangle,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & RJ_*J^{-1}(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})) & \\ \swarrow [+1] & & \searrow \\ R\Gamma_{x=0}(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}})) & \xrightarrow{\quad\quad\quad} & IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}), \end{array}$$

it is sufficient to show that the characteristic function on $\{x = 0\}$ of the complex $RJ_*J^{-1}(IR_{z=0}(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{xz}]e^{\frac{1}{z}}))$ is zero.

Now, if \mathcal{F} is a constructible sheaf on X and $P \in \{x = 0\}$,

$$\chi((RJ_*J^{-1}\mathcal{F})_P) = \chi((\mathbb{D}(J_!J^{-1}\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F}))_P) = \chi((J_!J^{-1}\mathbb{D}\mathcal{F})_P) = 0,$$

where \mathbb{D} is the Verdier duality (see [11]). \square

Proof of lemma 4.2. This is a particular case of a result of C. Sabbah (cf. corollary 5-2 of [10]). \square

Proof of lemma 4.3. Denote by \mathcal{C}^\bullet the complex $\Psi_z(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])$. By definition, $\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}^\bullet = R(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)_*(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]_{(0,0)}))$.

• Then, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\mathcal{H}^k\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}^\bullet = \mathop{\text{indlim}}_{(0,0) \in \text{Open}} \mathbb{R}^k\Gamma(U, R(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)_*(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]_U))).$$

As $\{D(0, \eta_1) \times D(0, \eta_2)\}_{\eta_1, \eta_2}$ is a fundamental system of neighbourhoods of $(0, 0)$, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^k\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}^\bullet = \mathop{\text{indlim}}_{\eta_1, \eta_2} \mathbb{R}^k\Gamma(D(0, \eta_1) \times D(0, \eta_2), R(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)_*(\tilde{i} \circ \pi)^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]_{D(0, \eta_1) \times D(0, \eta_2)}))).$$

• Let Σ be a Whitney stratification associated with the constructible sheaf $DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}])$. Then, for η_1 and η_2 small enough, there exists a homotopy equivalence $p : (\tilde{i} \circ \pi)^{-1}(D(0, \eta_1) \times D(0, \eta_2)) \rightarrow D(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}$ compatible with Σ . Thus, $\mathcal{H}^k\mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}^\bullet = \mathop{\text{indlim}}_{\eta_1, \eta_2} \mathbb{R}^k\Gamma(D(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}, DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]_{D(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}}))$.

- Now, as \mathfrak{M} is regular, $DR(\mathfrak{M}[\frac{1}{x}]) = RJ_*J^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}))$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^k \mathcal{C}_{(0,0)}^\bullet &= \mathop{\mathrm{indlim}}_{\eta_1, \eta_2} \mathbb{R}\Gamma(D(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}, RJ_*J^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}))) \\ &= \mathop{\mathrm{indlim}}_{\eta_1, \eta_2} \mathbb{R}\Gamma(D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}, J^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}))) \end{aligned}$$

- Let fix η_1 and $\tilde{\eta}$ small enough such that the singular support of \mathfrak{M} in $D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}$ is a finite number of points. Denote by P_1, \dots, P_s these points. They are the intersection points of $D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}$ and $\cup Z_l$. As $DR(\mathfrak{M})|_{D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}}$ is a complex of constructible sheaves with respect to the stratification $\{D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\} \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_l\}, P_1, \dots, P_l\}$, the Euler characteristic of $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}, J^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M})))$ is equal to:

$$\chi(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\} \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_l\}, DR(\mathfrak{M}))) + \sum_{i=1}^l \chi(DR(\mathfrak{M})_{P_i}).$$

Then, according to the index theorem of Kashiwara (cf. [3]),

$$\begin{aligned} &\chi(\mathbb{R}\Gamma(D^*(0, \eta_1) \times \{\tilde{\eta}\}, J^{-1}(DR(\mathfrak{M}))) \\ &= rk(\mathfrak{M}) \sum_l I_{(0,0)}(Z_l, \{z=0\}) + \sum_l (rk(\mathfrak{M}) - m_l) I_{(0,0)}(Z_l, \{z=0\}) \\ &= - \sum_l m_l I_{(0,0)}(Z_l, \{z=0\}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark. If f and g are two polynomials in two variables, we can compare theorem 1.1 and theorem 1 of [9]. Let us recall this theorem:

Let \mathbb{X} be a smooth projective compactification of \mathbb{C}^2 such that there exists $F, G : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, two meromorphic maps, which extend f and g . Let us denote by D the divisor $\mathbb{X} \setminus \mathbb{C}^2$. Let Γ be the critical locus of (F, G) .

Let $c \in \mathbb{P}^1$. We denote by Δ_1 the cycle in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is the closure in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of $(F, G)(\Gamma) \cap (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{c\} \times \mathbb{C})$, where the image is counted with multiplicity and by Δ_2 the cycle in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ which is the closure in $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ of $(F, G)(D) \cap (\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{c\} \times \mathbb{C})$, where the image is counted with multiplicity.

Theorem 4.1. *If f and g are algebraically independent, the irregularity number of $\mathcal{H}^0(f_+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2} e^g))$ is equal to*

$$I_{(c, \infty)}(\Delta_1, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}) + I_{(c, \infty)}(\Delta_2, \mathbb{P}^1 \times \{\infty\}).$$

Then, we can prove that the germs Z_l of irreducible curves in theorem 1.1 are the germs at (c, ∞) of the irreducible branches of $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$. The multiplicity m_l of $i_+(f, g)_+(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2})$ on $T_{Z_l}^* V$ are the multiplicity of Z_k in $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$.

References

- [1] Dimca, A., Maaref, F., Sabbah, C. and Saito, M., Dwork cohomology and algebraic \mathcal{D} -modules, *Math. Ann.*, **318**, no. 1, (2000), 107–125.
- [2] Kashiwara, M., Systems of microdifferential equation, *Progress in Math.*, **34**, Birkhäuser, Boston, (1983).
- [3] Kashiwara, M., Index theorem for constructible sheaves, in: systèmes différentiels et singularités, *Astérisque*, **130**, (1985), 193–209.
- [4] Maaref, F., Sur un analogue irrégulier de la connexion de Gauss-Manin, *Ann. Fac. Sc. Toul.*, **VIII(1)**, (1999), 117–124.
- [5] Malgrange, B., Sur les points singuliers des équations différentielles, *L'enseignement Mathématique*, **20**, (1974), 147–176.
- [6] Mebkhout, Z., Le théorème de positivité de l'irrégularité pour les \mathcal{D}_X -modules, *Grothendieck festschrift*, **III**, *Progress in Math.*, **88**, (1990), 84–131.
- [7] Mebkhout, Z., Le théorème de positivité, le théorème de comparaison, le théorème d'existence de Riemann, *séminaire et congrès*, **8**, (2004), 165–307.
- [8] Pham, F., La descente des cols par les onglets de Lefschetz avec vue sur Gauss-Manin, in: systèmes différentiels et singularités, *Astérisque*, **130**, (1985), 11–47.
- [9] Roucairol, C., Irregularity of an analogue of the Gauss-Manin systems, *ArXiv:AG/0505075*, (2005).
- [10] Sabbah, C., On the comparaison theorem for elementary irregular \mathcal{D} -modules, *Nagoya J. Math.*, **141** (1996), 107–124.
- [11] Verdier, J.L., Dualité de Poincaré, *Séminaire Heidelberg-Strasbourg 1966 – 67*, *Publ. I.R.M.A.* **3**, Strasbourg, (1969).